The Cohesion-Tension Theory

In the June 2004 (162:3) issue of *New Phytologist*, U. Zimmermann *et al.* published a Tansley review that criticizes the work of many scientists involved in the study of long-distance water transport in plants (Zimmermann *et al.*, 2004). Specifically, the review attempts to ‘show that the arguments of the proponents of the Cohesion Theory are completely misleading’. We, the undersigned, believe that this review is misleading in its discussion of the many recent papers which demonstrate that the fundamentals of the Cohesion-Tension theory remain valid (Holbrook *et al.*, 1995; Pockman *et al.*, 1995; Steudle, 1995; Milburn, 1996; Sperry *et al.*, 1996; Tyree, 1997; Melcher *et al.*, 1998; Comstock, 1999; Stiller & Sperry, 1999; Tyree, 1999; Wei *et al.*, 1999a; Wei *et al.*, 1999b; Cochard *et al.*, 2000; Cochard *et al.*, 2001a; Cochard *et al.*, 2001b; Richter, 2001; Steudle, 2001; Cochard, 2002; Tyree & Zimmermann, 2002; Tyree, 2003; Tyree & Cochard, 2003; Tyree *et al.*, 2003). We wish the readers of *New Phytologist* to know that the Cohesion-Tension theory is widely supported as the only theory consistent with the preponderance of data on water transport in plants.
under high negative pressures.
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Tansley reviews

Authors of Tansley reviews, which are fully peer-reviewed papers, are asked to consider two major themes in their writing. First, to deal with major research topics in some depth – to provide a ‘touchstone’ for those intending to enter the field. Second, to consider the review less as an exercise in literature documentation and more as a forum for the presentation of ideas. The balance between these two themes varies widely, depending on the subject and the individual, but we aim to make the distinction clear.

Where views and opinions are expressed in a Tansley review, or indeed any New Phytologist paper, these naturally belong to the authors. This is, we believe, clearly the case in the writing of the Tansley review by Zimmermann et al. in our June 2004 (162: 3) issue (Zimmermann et al., 2004).

The Tansley reviews and our forum section encourage debate in New Phytologist. We therefore welcome discussion, in this instance concerning the work of Zimmermann et al. through the comments of Angeles et al. (2004), which complement recent and relevant publications in New Phytologist by Brodribb & Holbrook (2004) and Sperry (2004).

Ian Woodward
Editor-in-Chief
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