The Causes of Leaf Hydraulic Vulnerability and Its Influence on Gas Exchange in Arabidopsis thaliana [OPEN]
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The influence of the dynamics of leaf hydraulic conductance (K_leaf) diurnally and during dehydration on stomatal conductance and photosynthesis remains unclear. Using the model species Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia-0), we applied a multiteled approach including physiological measurements, high-resolution x-ray microcomputed tomography, and modeling at a range of scales to characterize (1) K_leaf decline during dehydration; (2) its basis in the hydraulic conductances of leaf xylem and outside-xylem pathways (K_ox); (3) the dependence of its dynamics on irradiance; (4) its impact on diurnal patterns of stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rate; and (5) its influence on gas exchange and survival under simulated drought regimes. Arabidopsis leaves showed strong vulnerability to dehydration diurnally in both gas exchange and hydraulic conductance, despite lack of xylem embolism or conduit collapse above the turgor loss point, indicating a pronounced sensitivity of K_ox to dehydration. K_leaf increased under higher irradiance in well-hydrated leaves across the full range of water potential, but no shift in K_leaf vulnerability was observed. Modeling indicated that responses to dehydration and irradiance are likely attributable to changes in membrane permeability and that a dynamic K_ox would contribute strongly to stomatal closure, improving performance, survival, and efficient water use during drought. These findings for Columbia-0 provide a baseline for assessing variation across genotypes in hydraulic traits and their influence on gas exchange during dehydration.
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Plant growth requires a copious water supply because the rate of CO₂ uptake for photosynthesis depends on stomatal conductance (gₛ), which results in transpiratory water loss. Because stomata close in dehydrating leaves, photosynthesis and growth depend on the efficiency of water replacement to the mesophyll. Thus, in the past two decades, many studies focusing on diverse species have shown the centrality of the plant hydraulic system in determining leaf-scale gas exchange and plant productivity (Sack and Holbrook, 2006; Brodribb et al., 2007; Scoffoni et al., 2016). Our aim was to test hypotheses for the dynamics of hydraulic traits and their influence on gas exchange during dehydration using the model species Arabidopsis thaliana (further referred to as Arabidopsis). Establishing a framework for testing the influence of hydraulic traits in Arabidopsis can help address recent debates and open avenues for the discovery of genetic associations.
in natural and mutant genotypes under moist conditions and during soil and/or atmospheric drought.

The leaf accounts for a large proportion of plant hydraulic resistance (Sack and Holbrook, 2006). Thus, theoretical and empirical studies have shown strong correlations of $g_s$ and photosynthetic rate ($A_{\text{max}}$) with leaf hydraulic conductance ($K_{\text{leaf}}$), determined as the flow rate divided by the water potential driving force, in units of mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ MPa$^{-1}$ across species under well-watered conditions (Nardini and Salleo, 2003; Brodribb and Holbrook, 2004; Sack and Holbrook, 2006; Scoffoni et al., 2016) and within given species during dehydration (Brodribb and Holbrook, 2006, 2007; Bartlett et al., 2016; Scoffoni et al., 2017c). A high $K_{\text{leaf}}$ enabling higher $g_s$ and $A_{\text{max}}$ could be achieved through a high vein length per area, larger and/or more numerous xylem conduits (and/or xylem pits), and more conductive mesophyll and bundle sheath anatomy and biochemistry (Brodribb et al., 2007; Choat et al., 2008; Caringella et al., 2015; Scoffoni et al., 2015, 2016; Stewart et al., 2018). Yet, the linkages of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ and gas exchange as leaves dehydrate to the turgor loss point are still under debate. Early studies suggested that $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline drives stomatal closure under high vapor pressure deficits (VPDs) at midday (Brodribb and Holbrook, 2003a; Bucci et al., 2003) and during drought (Salleo et al., 2001; Brodribb and Holbrook, 2003b; Nardini and Salleo, 2003). Several recent studies suggested that, in some species, $K_{\text{leaf}}$ might not decline until embolism forms in the leaf vein xylem (Brodribb et al., 2016a, 2016b; Skelton et al., 2017), which for many species does not occur until past the point of stomatal closure and bulk leaf turgor loss (Brodribb et al., 2016b; Hochberg et al., 2017; Scoffoni et al., 2017a). Similarly, xylem wall collapse may drive $K_{\text{leaf}}$ declines in pine (Pinus spp.) needles and minor veins of Quercus rubra (red oak) only below the turgor loss point (Cochard et al., 2004a; Zhang et al., 2016). Avoiding $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline during transpiration when leaves are hydrated above the turgor loss point has been suggested as adaptive, maintaining leaf water potential ($\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$) and open stomata, although at the risk of sustaining water potentials that would induce xylem cavitation under high VPDs (Brodribb and Holbrook, 2006). Numerous studies in the last decade have shown that species differ in whether $K_{\text{leaf}}$ declines at milder, similar, or more severe $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ than at stomatal closure and that $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline depends mechanistically on processes in multiple tissues: the venation, bundle sheath, and mesophyll pathways of liquid and vapor transport (for review, see Scoffoni et al., 2017c). Indeed, a meta-analysis of the literature found that, on average, across species (and methods for $K_{\text{leaf}}$ determination), $K_{\text{leaf}}$ declined by 30% to 80% before the turgor loss point (Scoffoni et al., 2017c). Recent work focusing on partitioning leaf xylem and outside-xylem resistances during dehydration suggested the outside-xylem hydraulic conductance ($K_{\text{ox}}$) as the primary driver of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline (Trifilo et al., 2016; Scoffoni et al., 2017a, 2017c), which could be triggered by the loss of cell connectivity, cell shrinkage, and/or changes in membrane aquaporin activity (Laur and Hacke, 2014b; Scoffoni et al., 2014, 2017a), potentially mediated by the effects of abscisic acid (ABA) in the bundle sheath (Pantin et al., 2013). A recent study in rice (Oryza sativa) has attributed to $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline a strong causal role in driving stomatal closure during dehydration (Wang et al., 2018).

Debate has also focused on the light response of $K_{\text{leaf}}$. Previous studies have found many species to exhibit a rapid enhancement of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ in response to increased irradiance (Sack et al., 2002; Nardini et al., 2005b; Tyree et al., 2005; Cochard et al., 2007; Scoffoni et al., 2008; Voicu et al., 2008; Guyot et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2018), but not all (Sack et al., 2002; Gasco et al., 2004; Tyree et al., 2005; Scoffoni et al., 2008; Xiong et al., 2018). The activation of PIP2,1 and PIP2,2 aquaporins under high irradiance at high water potential has been shown to also enhance $K_{\text{leaf}}$ in some (Cochard et al., 2007) though not all species (Voicu et al., 2009). A higher $K_{\text{leaf}}$ under high light could potentially help buffer rapid changes in VPD and prevent stomata from closing (Carins Murphy et al., 2012; Scoffoni et al., 2015). In Arabidopsis, one study estimated hydraulic conductance by pushing water into entire rosettes suspended underwater in a dark pressure chamber and found that it was higher for leaves acclimated to dark rather than high irradiance (Prado et al., 2013), although no study has investigated this response at the leaf level.

Here, we applied complementary physiological, imaging, and modeling approaches (Table 1) to assess $K_{\text{leaf}}$ dynamics with dehydration and irradiance and their role in driving diurnal patterns of gas exchange in Arabidopsis. We tested the hypotheses in Arabidopsis that $K_{\text{leaf}}$(1) is high under well-hydrated conditions but declines strongly during dehydration; (2) declines due to changes in $K_{\text{ox}}$ but not in xylem embolism formation or conduit collapse; (3) responds to irradiance; (4) influences diurnal patterns of $g_s$ and photosynthetic rate; and (5) shows dynamics that confer higher water-use efficiency and, thus, that would benefit plant performance under simulated soil drying.

**RESULTS**

**Leaf Hydraulics and Gas Exchange and Their Responses to Leaf Dehydration and Irradiance in Arabidopsis**

Arabidopsis Col-0 exhibited high maximum $K_{\text{leaf}}$, $g_s$, and $A_{\text{max}}$ as well as $A_{\text{area}}$ (Figs. 1 and 2; Table 2). The partitioning of hydraulic resistances in the leaf indicated a similar distribution of resistances in the xylem and outside-xylem pathways (45.6% versus 54.4% respectively; Table 2). Arabidopsis showed a strong vulnerability to dehydration in $K_{\text{leaf}}$ and gas exchange (Fig. 1). Notably, the range of water potential measured on intact plants diurnally, and on detached leaves during bench dehydration, was similar (Figs. 1 and 2). $K_{\text{leaf}}$ responded nonlinearly to dehydration, with steep declines before...
Leaves acclimated to high irradiance had significantly higher \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) values than leaves acclimated to low irradiance, with a 60% enhancement of \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) from low to high irradiance in well-hydrated leaves of Col-0 (Fig. 1). Student’s t test was done on residuals of \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) (i.e. difference of observed values relative to those predicted from the best fit function through all data combined: \( K_{\text{leaf}} = 8.33 + 83.7 \times \exp \left(- \left(9.47 \times \Psi_{\text{leaf}} \right) \right) \)). Residuals for \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) were 7.4 mmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) MPa\(^{-1}\) higher under high irradiance during drought. Table 1. Modeling framework across scales to determine the underlying mechanisms linking \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) decline to gas exchange

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOFLO 2.0 (Buckley et al., 2017)</td>
<td>Model the influence of changes in outside-xylem pathways on ( K_{\text{ox}} ) and ( K_{\text{leaf}} )</td>
<td>Cell shrinkage and percentage intercellular airspace at (-0.5) MPa obtained from microCT, ( g_s ), (abaxial and adaxial), VPD, and bulk leaf temperature; simulations were performed under no light or 600 ( \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} ) photosynthetically active radiation, with or without an apoplastic barrier at the bundle sheath and with or without an 80% decline in cell membrane permeability and/or cell connectivity</td>
<td>( K_{\text{ox}} )</td>
<td>Reduction of cell membrane permeability in the context of an apoplastic barrier would account for most of the ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) decline observed at (-0.5) MPa; temperature gradients through the leaf due to irradiance had little impact on ( K_{\text{ox}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal contribution of ( K ) decline (refined from Rodriguez-Dominguez et al., 2016)</td>
<td>Quantify the influence of ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) decline on ( g_s ) decline</td>
<td>Parameters from the maximum likelihood function of ( g_s ) and ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) versus ( \Psi_{\text{leaf}} ), VPD set at a constant value (1.5 kPa), and a computed range of percentage ( g_s ) decline (0%–100% decline in ( g_s ) with ( \Psi_{\text{leaf}} ))</td>
<td>Contribution of ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) decline to ( g_s ) decline with dehydration</td>
<td>( K_{\text{leaf}} ) decline explains most of the changes in ( g_s ) during mild to moderate dehydration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SurEau (Martin-StPaul et al., 2017)</td>
<td>Quantify the influence of ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) decline on gas exchange in the whole-plant context during drought</td>
<td>Parameters from the maximum likelihood function of ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) versus ( \Psi_{\text{leaf}} ). Parameters from the function of ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) versus water potential, ( g_{\text{max}} ) (Martin-StPaul et al., 2016). Farquhar’s model inputs, photosynthetically active radiation, air temperature, air humidity, time of day, transpiration under well-hydrated conditions, and soil volume</td>
<td>Soil water reserve, water potentials, transpiration rate, ( g_s ), ( A_{\text{area}} ), and PLC</td>
<td>Decline in ( K_{\text{leaf}} ) causes ( \Psi_{\text{leaf}} ) drop, which in turn causes both ( g_s ) and ( A_{\text{area}} ) to decline under increasing VPD and decreasing soil water potential</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50% loss of its initial \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) by \(-0.17\) MPa (\( K_{\text{leaf}} P_{50} \)) and gradually slowing down its response to further dehydration (Table 2; Fig. 1). Both \( g_s \) and \( A_{\text{area}} \) responded linearly to declining \( \Psi_{\text{leaf}} \) (Fig. 2), reaching 50% loss of initial rates by \(-0.37 \) and \(-0.38\) MPa, respectively, and 95% loss at similar \( \Psi_{\text{leaf}} \) values of \(-0.71\) MPa (Table 2). At the turgor loss point, \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) had declined by approximately 88% and stomata were nearly fully closed (Table 2; Fig. 1).
The maximum likelihood function is shown for $\Psi_{leaf}$ at 50% loss of water potential under high light (high and low irradiance were similar in their above $(s)$ irradiance across the entire vulnerability curve ($P = 0.01$), 7.9 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ MPa$^{-1}$ higher considering only leaves above the turgor loss point ($P = 0.01$), and 13.9 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ MPa$^{-1}$ higher considering only leaves at hydration above $-0.2$ MPa ($P = 0.04$). However, leaves acclimated to high and low irradiance were similar in their $K_{leaf} P_{50}$ ($-0.17$ versus $-0.16$ MPa, respectively; Fig. 1).

Diurnal Responses of Gas Exchange

Photosynthetic and stomatal responses were measured over the course of 2 d, from 09:00 to 18:00. Our results showed that the diurnal pattern of $K_{plant}$ and gas exchange reflected the dynamics of $\Psi_{leaf}$, as evidenced by the strong trends of $K_{plant}$ $g_s$ and $A_{max}$ versus $\Psi_{leaf}$ ($r^2 = 0.45$–0.81, $P < 0.02$; Fig. 2). Of all the potential environmental drivers, VPD most strongly correlated with $g_s$ dynamics diurnally ($r^2 = 0.18$, $P = 0.002$; Supplemental Fig. S1).

Independent effects analysis of potential drivers of diurnal dynamics in $g_s$, including environmental factors and $\Psi_{leaf}$, showed that $\Psi_{leaf}$ was the most important statistically, contributing 77% toward the diurnal variation (Supplemental Fig. S2). The VPD contributed 11%, and temperature, photosynthetically active radiation, and time of day each contributed only 4% to the observed variation (Supplemental Fig. S2).

Testing for Vein Xylem Embolism and Collapse during Leaf Dehydration Using Microcomputed Tomography

We scanned leaves using in vivo microcomputed tomography (microCT) for dehydrated plants to visualize potential xylem embolism. In 14 of 18 leaves attached to plants that spanned the observed range of $\Psi_{leaf}$ ($-0.05$ to $-0.87$ MPa), no gas embolism was observed in major or minor veins (Fig. 3). In four of 18 scans, we observed one to two embolized conduits in the midrib and/or secondary veins; notably, these leaves were not the most dehydrated ($\Psi_{leaf} = -0.13$ to $-0.45$ MPa; Fig. 4; Table 3) but were within the same range as other leaves that did not exhibit embolism. In all three leaves that showed embolized midrib conduits, the embolism spanned the entire length of the scanned section, and we were unable to measure the total vessel length (Fig. 4; Table 3). For two leaves, the embolized midrib
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**Figure 1.** Decline of $K_{leaf}$ measured under high (greater than 1,000 $\mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) or low (less than 3 $\mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) irradiance. The maximum likelihood function is shown for $K_{leaf}$ vulnerability acclimated under high light ($K_{leaf} = 6.83 + 81.4exp(-7.56 \times |\Psi_{leaf}|)$) and low light ($K_{leaf} = 8.98 + 84.2exp(-13.2 \times |\Psi_{leaf}|)$). The dashed line represents the water potential at 50% loss of $K_{leaf}$ (similar in both treatments).

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Plant hydraulic and gas-exchange responses to dehydration in Arabidopsis. Decline of the whole-plant hydraulic conductance ($K_{plant}$; A), $g_s$ (B), and $A_{max}$ (C) with dehydration are shown. Each point represents a different measured leaf. $K_{plant}$ was obtained from the porometer data by dividing transpiration by $\Psi_{leaf}$ assuming that soil water potential was at full saturation. The black fitted line in each graph is the maximum likelihood function [exponential for $K_{plant} = 2.0 + 91.1exp(-7.75 \times |\Psi_{leaf}|)$ and linear for $g_s = 339 - 451 \times |\Psi_{leaf}|$ and $A_{max} = 14.4 - 19.2 \times |\Psi_{leaf}|$]. The dotted gray line is the $\Psi_{leaf}$ at 50% loss of maximum $K_{plant}$ $g_s$ or $A_{max}$. Because trait values above $-0.1$ MPa were especially low (white circles), likely representing stomatal closure at those high water potentials (see “Materials and Methods”), we did not include these points in the line fitting.
A conduit extended into a secondary vein. In the fourth leaf, an isolated embolized conduit in the secondary vein was observed (Fig. 4; Table 3). All embolized conduits were of average diameter (Table 3; midrib conduit diameters observed (Fig. 4; Table 3). All embolized conduits were of an isolated embolized conduit in the secondary vein. In the fourth leaf, a conduit extended into a secondary vein. In the fourth leaf, an isolated embolized conduit in the secondary vein was observed (Fig. 4; Table 3). All embolized conduits were of average diameter (Table 3; midrib conduit diameters measured under light microscopy ranged from 2.79 to 10.3 μm). No collapsed conduits were observed in midrib and secondary vein conduits at the range of water potentials investigated. The resolution of the microCT scans was not sufficient to determine whether conduit collapse occurred in higher order veins.

Modeling the Impact of Embolism and Collapse on Leaf Xylem Hydraulic Conductance

Spatially explicit modeling of the leaf xylem (Table 1) showed that the very low level of observed xylem conduit embolism would reduce Kx by 1.2% to 4.7% (Table 3). Because resolution was not sufficient to determine whether conduit collapse occurred in higher order veins, we simulated the potential impact of such a collapse if it had occurred. These simulations showed that, if higher order veins were to collapse to the same percentage of conduit diameter as reported recently for minor veins of Quercus rubra (Zhang et al., 2016), this would decrease Kx by 3% to 7.5% (Table 3). Under a more extreme scenario, in which the collapse of tertiary and minor veins caused a 50% decline in their conductivity, Kx would be reduced by 12% to 17% (Table 3), which would decrease Kleaf by 7% to 9%.

Modeling the Putative Causes of Kox Decline

Spatially explicit modeling of the outside-xylem pathways using MOFLO 2.0 (Table 1) suggested that the main factor accounting for the decline in Kox observed at −0.5 MPa was most likely the reduction of cell membrane permeability in combination with an apoplastic barrier at the bundle sheath (Fig. 5). Under high irradiance, an 80% reduction of cell membrane permeability would cause a 68.4% decrease in Kox; adding an 80% reduction in cell connectivity would further decrease Kox by 0.2% (Fig. 5). When performing these simulations with no apoplastic barrier at the bundle sheath, the impact of an 80% reduction of cell membrane permeability caused only a 24.5% decrease in Kox (Fig. 5). Simulating the impact of changes of temperature gradients due to light absorption changed the percentage loss of Kox by 1% to 3% across simulations (Supplemental Table 2. Mean ± se for the physiological and anatomical traits measured for Arabidopsis (Col-0). Kmax, Maximum leaf hydraulic conductance; %Kox, percentage resistance outside the leaf xylem; P50, P88, and P95; Ψleaf at 50%, 88%, and 95% decline in a given trait. %Kleaf, percentage leaf hydraulic resistance; Kt, theoretical hydraulic conductance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Col-0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydraulics and gas exchange</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kmax</td>
<td>mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ MPa⁻¹</td>
<td>59.9 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kmax 1.0-0.2MPa</td>
<td>mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ MPa⁻¹</td>
<td>33.1 ± 4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kox</td>
<td>mmol m⁻² s⁻¹ MPa⁻¹</td>
<td>138.4 ± 14.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Kox</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%Kleaf</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gs</td>
<td>mmol m⁻² s⁻¹</td>
<td>339 ± 24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amax</td>
<td>μmol m⁻² s⁻¹</td>
<td>14.4 ± 2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kleaf P50</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kleaf P88</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kleaf P95</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>−0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aleaf P50</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aleaf P88</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drought tolerance traits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turgor loss point</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>−0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmotic potential at full turgor</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>−0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulus of elasticity</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative water content at the turgor loss point</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>84.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf mass per unit of leaf area</td>
<td>g m⁻²</td>
<td>13.6 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage loss of area in a dry leaf</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>57.9 ± 3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gmin</td>
<td>mmol m⁻² s⁻¹</td>
<td>18.6 ± 1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaf anatomical traits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from vein to lower epidermis</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0.067 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total vein length per area</td>
<td>mm mm⁻²</td>
<td>3.04 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor vein length per area</td>
<td>mm mm⁻²</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major vein length per area</td>
<td>mm mm⁻²</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kox midrib per leaf area</td>
<td>mmol m⁻¹ s⁻¹ MPa⁻¹</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kox minor per leaf area</td>
<td>mmol m⁻¹ s⁻¹ MPa⁻¹</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.0008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The contribution of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability to $g_s$ decline remains more than 40% until $g_s$ declines by 50% and becomes less important as stomata approach full closure. When $g_s$ has declined by 95%, the contribution of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability to $g_s$ decline is less than 1%.

Using the SurEau Whole-Plant Physiology Model to Estimate the Influence of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ Decline on Gas Exchange, Productivity, and Survival

We tested the importance of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability prior to the turgor loss point in reducing $g_s$ and photosynthesis on plant carbon balance and survival in simulations using SurEau (Martin-StPaul et al., 2017; Fig. 7; Table 1). In simulations, the experimentally observed $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability caused an up to $-0.36$-MPa lower $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ at midday under well-hydrated conditions (yellow and red lines) compared with constant $K_{\text{leaf}}$ simulations (light and dark blue lines; Fig. 7B). This lower $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ in turn, reduced $g_s$ and cumulative CO2 assimilation ($A_{n, \text{tot}}$) by up to 62% and 17%, respectively, under well-hydrated conditions (Fig. 7, A and B), but cumulative water-use efficiency (calculated as $A_{n, \text{tot}}/\text{total transpiration}$) increased by 28% (inset in Fig. 7C). Given finite soil water supply in these simulations, this higher water-use efficiency led to up to 24% higher $A_{n, \text{tot}}$ over the entire course of the simulated drought. Indeed, because $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability results in lower $g_s$ during the early stage of the drought, the soil water potential (approximated as nighttime $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ in Fig. 7B) is maintained at higher levels as drought ensues, leading to the maintenance of higher $g_s$ during later drought (Fig. 7A). Additionally, because $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ does not drop as fast during the course of the drought, these simulations showed that, given $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability, the onset of leaf xylem embolism occurs later during drought such that plants survive up to 6 d longer under drying soil (Fig. 7D). These simulations resulted in similar findings whether $K_{\text{leaf}}$ was set as vulnerable or constant, highlighting $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability as a main driver of improved water-use efficiency, $A_{n, \text{tot}}$, and survival during soil drying.

Drought Tolerance in Arabidopsis

Arabidopsis Col-0 exhibits low leaf mass per area, a high degree of area shrinkage during dehydration, high $g_{\text{min}}$, high osmotic potential at full turgor and the turgor loss point, and low modulus of elasticity and relative water content at the turgor loss point (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Our results demonstrate a potential strong role for outside-xylem pathways in the decline of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ with leaf dehydration, contributing to stomatal closure and the reduction of photosynthetic rate in Arabidopsis (Col-0). Strong declines in $K_{\text{leaf}}$ were associated with declines in $K_{\text{plant}}$, $g_{\text{st}}$, and $A_{\text{area}}$ at water potentials where no

Figure 3. Lack of embolism observed in midrib conduits of Arabidopsis (Col-0) across levels of dehydration, as revealed by in vivo images of leaf midribs subjected to progressive dehydration using microCT. Water-filled cells appear in light gray in microCT. If air-filled (i.e. embolized) conduits were present, they would appear as black in the xylem portion of the midrib. There was no embolism, as shown in these images by the red arrows pointing at the entirely light gray midrib xylem. The inset in A represents a leaf midrib cross section imaged under light microscopy, with the red arrow pointing to the xylem tissue (dark blue conduits).

Table S1). Finally, simulating the impact of cell shrinkage from full turgor to $-0.5$ MPa resulted in an increase in $K_{\text{ox}}$ by 7% to 15%, due to the increase in vein density caused by leaf shrinkage and the consequent decrease in outside-xylem water path lengths (Fig. 5).

Partitioning the Contribution of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ Vulnerability to $g_s$ Decline

In a transpiring leaf, a low $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ would result from low water potentials proximal to the leaf (i.e. in the soil or roots; Table 1) and to the transpiration-driven water potential drop across the leaf, which is greater, given $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability. Thus, given that $g_s$ declines with $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$, $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability will amplify the reduction of $g_s$ at a given soil water potential and VPD. Using a partitioning analysis, we applied the observed parameters of $g_s$ and $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline in Arabidopsis to compute the marginal percentage contribution of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability to the decline of $g_s$ (Table 1). Our results showed that $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability contributes strongly to $g_s$ decline in transpiring leaves early in dehydration, due to the amplification of $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ decline; when $g_s$ declines by 30%, 70% of this response is due to $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability rather than to low water potential proximal to the leaf (Fig. 6).
significant embolism was observed using microCT. The absence of leaf xylem embolism before stomatal closure and hydraulic decline point to changes in outside-xylem pathways as the cause of the observed $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline and imply no functional role of xylem dysfunction in this species’ response of gas exchange to leaf dehydration. Modeling showed that $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability has a strong causal role in determining stomatal closure and, furthermore, that $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability would improve plant carbon balance and survival during drought.

Drivers of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ Decline during Dehydration

Our results suggest that changes in outside-xylem pathways are the main drivers of the response of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ to dehydration in Arabidopsis. MicroCT imaging showed that embolism was rare in major vein xylem conduits and nonexistent in minor veins. Only one or two embolized conduits (representing on average 6%–11% of the conduits in the midrib) were found in four of 18 samples, with no trend of embolism with increasing water stress prior to the turgor loss point. This low vulnerability to embolism in leaves parallels findings for Arabidopsis inflorescence stems, which have $P_{50}$ values lower than $-2.5$ MPa (Tixier et al., 2013). The few rare observed leaf vein xylem emboli likely arose from methodological artifacts. In three of the four samples in which embolized conduits were observed, the embolized conduit spanned the entire section. One possibility is that air may have entered the conduit when plants were removed from the soil for dehydration, if air entered conduits from damaged...
roots, and conduits were continuous into the major veins of scanned leaves. Similarly, air may have entered when the two leaves were excised from the plant for initial water potential measurement, if conduits spanned from these leaves to others in the rosette including the scanned leaves. Alternatively, these few embolisms could be the result of defects in the development of these conduits (Pickard, 1981; Tyree et al., 1994). Indeed, we found that a single isolated embolism event occurred in a secondary vein of one of our samples. Such isolated embolism events have been reported in leaf veins of other angiosperm species (Scoffoni et al., 2017b) and stem xylem (Brodersen et al., 2013; Choat et al., 2015, 2016).

MicroCT imaging did not reveal any conduit collapse in the midrib or secondary veins across the range of observed water potentials. Since the resolution of the microCT imaging did not permit the assessment of initial water potential measurement, if conduits spanned from these leaves to others in the rosette including the scanned leaves. Alternatively, these few embolisms could be the result of defects in the development of these conduits (Pickard, 1981; Tyree et al., 1994). Indeed, we found that a single isolated embolism event occurred in a secondary vein of one of our samples. Such isolated embolism events have been reported in leaf veins of other angiosperm species (Scoffoni et al., 2017b) and stem xylem (Brodersen et al., 2013; Choat et al., 2015, 2016).

MicroCT imaging did not reveal any conduit collapse in the midrib or secondary veins across the range of observed water potentials. Since the resolution of the microCT imaging did not permit the assessment of
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**Figure 5.** Results from simulations using a spatially explicit model of leaf outside-xylem water to test for potential drivers of the decline in $K_{ox}$ in dehydrating leaf transport (MOFLO 2.0; Table 1; see “Materials and Methods”). The $K_{ox}$ was first computed based on the decline of observed cell size and airspace alone (gray bars), which resulted in an increase in $K_{ox}$ (negative percentage loss of $K_{ox}$; mainly due to the shortening of pathways from the veins to stomata). We then modeled the $K_{ox}$ decline according to three scenarios (although always including the effect of tissue dimensional changes): an 80% decline at −0.5 MPa in (1) cell connectivity (red bars), (2) cell membrane permeability (blue bars), and (3) cell wall thickness (black bars). All simulations were run with (Ap; darker color) or without (No Ap; lighter color) an apoplastic barrier at the bundle sheath cells. The yellow star on the x axis represents the percentage observed $K_{leaf}$ decline at −0.5 MPa (measured with the evaporative flux method; see “Materials and Methods”).
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**Figure 6.** Model simulation mapping the contribution of the decline of $K_{leaf}$ to that of $g_s$ with dehydration (Table 1).
Xylem conduit collapse in higher order veins, we tested the potential effect of the collapse of minor veins on \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) using a spatially explicit model of the leaf vein system. These simulations suggested that if xylem conduit collapse in the tertiary and minor veins were to occur within the range of water potentials in which \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) declined, this collapse would have a quantitatively small effect (i.e. causing a less than 10% decline in \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) at \(-0.5\) MPa). This finding was consistent with previous model results showing that an extreme collapse of minor veins would cause \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) to decline only by up to 4% for four diverse species (Scoffoni et al., 2017b). Previous studies found collapse of xylem conduits in pine needles and the minor veins of red oak leaves, but only past the turgor loss point, and suggested that this could act as a circuit breaker to protect the stem xylem from embolism formation (Cochard et al., 2004a; Zhang et al., 2016). An early decline in outside-xylem pathways would act in a similar way, hastening stomatal closure, before xylem collapse would occur (Scoffoni et al., 2017a; see below). Past the turgor loss point, the Arabidopsis leaf undergoes drastic shrinkage in area and thickness, and it is likely that xylem in the midrib and higher order veins would collapse, especially as the Arabidopsis xylem cell walls are helicoidal and consist mostly of thick primary walls (Fig. 8). Future studies are needed to investigate the collapse of xylem and its influence on the rehydration capacity of strongly dehydrated leaves.

Response of \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) to Dehydration and Coordination with Gas Exchange

In Arabidopsis, we did not observe any embolism in leaf xylem conduits prior to, or even moderately past, the point of stomatal closure and the turgor loss point. This finding is consistent with recent work on tomato...
(Solanum lycopersicum) and grapevine (Vitis vinifera) showing that stomata closed before any embolisms were observed using an optical visualization technique (Hochberg et al., 2017; Skelton et al., 2017). Here, we confirm this finding for the first time using microCT on Arabidopsis. This finding also is consistent with a growing body of literature showing that, typically, no xylem embolism is observed prior to the turgor loss point (Charra-Vaskou et al., 2012; Delzon and Cochard, 2014; Bouche et al., 2016; Brodribb et al., 2016b; Scoffoni et al., 2017a, 2017b). A recent study on sunflower (Helianthus annuus) showed that xylem embolism occurs after the turgor loss point even in plants that acclimate to drought: plants grown under water-limited conditions adjusted osmotically and had a more negative turgor loss point (−0.3 MPa shift), and leaf xylem $P_{50}$ also shifted to a more negative value (−0.6 MPa shift; Cardoso et al., 2018).

The diurnal variation observed in $g_s$ and net $A_{\text{area}}$ was driven strongly by leaf water status (i.e., $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$), as shown by our model-fitting analyses. Furthermore, our analyses indicated that the dynamics of $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ and thus of $g_s$ and $A_{\text{area}}$ were driven strongly by the dehydration-induced decline of $K_{\text{leaf}}$, which resulted, in turn, from changes in outside-xylem pathways. Thus, we found that, in Arabidopsis, $K_{\text{leaf}}$ declines more rapidly than $g_s$ with dehydration, increasing the ratio of $g_s$ to $K_{\text{leaf}}$ such that transpiration would amplify the decline in $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ and, consequently, that of $g_s$. Indeed, 40% to 65% of the $g_s$ decline was attributable to $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline for leaves dehydrated to less than 50% of stomatal closure. For more dehydrated leaves, given their reduced $g_s$, the transpiration-driven amplification of $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ and the $g_s$ decline by $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability are small, and declining $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ due to low soil water potential and/or exogenous signals such as ABA or sugar production would be responsible for driving stomata to full closure. The direct mechanisms for stomatal closure with declining $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ require further research. While most proximally, stomatal closure relates to solute transfer from guard cells to pavement cells, this could be driven by declining cell volume, turgor, osmotic concentration, or water potential, in the epidermis and/or mesophyll, partially or fully mediated by ABA accumulation, which, in turn, may be associated with declining cell volume and $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline in dehydrating leaves (McAdam and Brodribb, 2016; Sussmilch et al., 2017; Sack et al., 2018). Indeed, ABA signaling may contribute to stomatal closure both directly at the guard cells and also by contributing to $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline in dehydrating leaves by reducing cell membrane permeability via changes in aquaporin expression (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011; Pantin et al., 2013). Indeed, our MOFLO 2.0 simulations showed that the $K_{\text{ox}}$ decline was best explained by reduced cell membrane permeability and, to a lesser extent, cell connectivity. In Arabidopsis, stress-induced changes in cell membrane permeability, mediated by aquaporins, can have a strong impact on root hydraulic conductance (Javot and Maurel, 2002).

Alternatively, some studies have suggested that photosynthetic rate and carbon sink activities could regulate stomata and plant hydraulics (Nikinmaa et al., 2013; Körner, 2015; Rockwell et al., 2018). Indeed, this is well known in cropping systems such as grapevine, where the presence of strong sinks such as fruits have been shown to stimulate photosynthesis (Hofacker, 1978; Petrie et al., 2000). Recent studies have found that excess cellular sugar concentrations under high irradiance, and/or during dehydration, could trigger stomata closure (Nikinmaa et al., 2013; Rockwell et al., 2018). Excess Suc may be transported to the guard cells by the transpiration stream, and the subsequent increase in osmolytes at the guard cell apoplast could induce stomatal closure in some species, especially during periods of high photosynthetic rates (Lu et al., 1995, 1997; Kang et al., 2007a, 2007b). Indeed, the increase in Suc concentration at the guard cells could act as more than a simple osmolyte, as it can depolarize the guard cell plasma membrane, activating potassium channels (Jarzyniak and Jasiński, 2014), and an increase in the level of sugar-sensing enzymes in the guard cells can accelerate stomatal closure by stimulating ABA production (Kelly et al., 2013; Van Houtte et al., 2013; Li et al., 2016, 2018; Medeiros et al., 2018). Additionally, excess Suc concentrations can decrease $K_{\text{ox}}$ and thus $K_{\text{leaf}}$ potentially via the deactivation of aquaporins (Kelly et al., 2017).
In conclusion, $K_{\text{leaf}}$, $g_s$, and $A_{\text{area}}$ show a coordinated decline during leaf dehydration in Arabidopsis, with a potentially strong direct effect of declining $K_{\text{leaf}}$ in inducing stomatal closure via a decrease in water potential. The decline in $K_{\text{leaf}}$ and $g_s$ may be driven jointly by the accumulation of sugar and/or ABA accumulation in dehydrating leaves, or $K_{\text{leaf}}$ declines may contribute to this accumulation. Future studies are needed to decipher the exact sequence of events leading stomata to close.

**Putative Role of $K_{\text{ox}}$ Decline in Improving Plant Carbon Balance, Water-Use Efficiency, and Survival during Drought**

Why would the water transport pathways outside the xylem decline in efficiency during dehydration prior to the turgor loss point if this reduces gas exchange? Results from SurEau simulations indicated that a vulnerable $K_{\text{ox}}$ (and thus $K_{\text{leaf}}$) above the turgor loss point leads to greater water-use efficiency and $A_{\text{area}}$, as well as the protection of xylem from embolism and increased plant survival during drought. Simulated plants with $K_{\text{ox}}$ declining prior to the turgor loss point operated, on average, at a lower $K_{\text{ox}}$ value than plants with $K_{\text{ox}}$ held constant (set to the average value measured at $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ of $-0.1$ to $-0.2$ MPa [i.e. the range at which $g_s$ was at its maximum]). This dynamic $K_{\text{ox}}$ with water potential caused an up to 60% decline in $g_s$ but only an up to 12% decline in CO2 assimilation, resulting in a higher water-use efficiency and greater overall assimilation when considered over the entire period of soil drying. This benefit for low $K_{\text{ox}}$ raises the question of why plants should invest in a high $K_{\text{ox}}$ (or $K_{\text{leaf}}$) in maximally hydrated leaves. Indeed, high $K_{\text{leaf}}$ values at $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ above $-1$ MPa have at times been neglected when constructing vulnerability curves (Blackman et al., 2012, 2014) under the presumption that leaves simply do not operate at such high water potentials in plants. However, a high $K_{\text{ox}}$ (and thus $K_{\text{leaf}}$) in well-hydrated leaves that declines during dehydration prior to the turgor loss point would offer advantages; it would enable high $g_s$ and greater CO2 assimilation under well-watered conditions. This would be particularly beneficial for a short-lived species such as Arabidopsis, which is required to grow rapidly when water availability is high. Previous studies have found that maximum $K_{\text{ox}}$ (and $K_{\text{leaf}}$) was high and declined more rapidly with water potential in herbs (Scoffoni et al., 2011; Nolf et al., 2016) than long-lived drought-tolerant chaparral trees (Scoffoni et al., 2017a).

**The Light Response of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ in Arabidopsis**

Maximum $K_{\text{leaf}}$ for well-hydrated leaves often increases in response to light; this response has been found for 15 of 30 species tested, in species of 23 plant families (Sack et al., 2002; Gasco et al., 2004; Tyree et al., 2005; Cochard et al., 2007; Scoffoni et al., 2008; Voicu et al., 2008; Guyot et al., 2012; Xiong et al., 2018). Furthermore, in some species, the light enhancement of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ is reduced in dehydrated leaves, or, equivalently, for those species, $K_{\text{leaf}}$ declines with dehydration more steeply under high irradiance (Guyot et al., 2012). In Arabidopsis, a previous study suggested that the hydraulic conductance of entire rosettes had increased when acclimated to low rather than high irradiance (Prado et al., 2013). In our experiments using the evaporative flux method, we found significantly higher $K_{\text{leaf}}$ values throughout the range of water potentials tested for leaves acclimated to high irradiance, with a 60% enhancement of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ from low to high irradiance in well-hydrated leaves of Col-0. Discrepancies between these results may have arisen due to methodological differences, given that, in the study of Prado et al. (2013), hydraulic conductance was measured by pushing water inward through the stomata of entire rosettes suspended under water in darkness within a pressure chamber.

Notably, the light enhancement in $K_{\text{leaf}}$ found in Arabidopsis did not result in a shift in $P_{30}$. This finding indicates a proportional shift to lower values under low irradiance, throughout the range of water potentials, contrary to findings for four woody species in which leaves acclimated to high irradiance were more vulnerable to $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline with dehydration (Guyot et al., 2012). The light enhancement of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ would provide a greater hydraulic supply to meet the demand of leaves acclimated to high irradiance (i.e. given the strong and efficiency in Arabidopsis. The much greater effect of leaf over root is due to the very high proportion of hydraulic resistance in the leaf (85.7%) due to the lack of stem in the vegetative phase of this rosette species. The hydraulic vulnerability of roots and their influence on the control of gas exchange are still under debate, given the experimental challenges. Debate is ongoing over whether root xylem is highly vulnerable (Hacke and Sauter, 1996; Hacke et al., 2000) or resistant (Rodriguez-Dominguez et al., 2018) to xylem embolism. However, just as in leaves (Scoffoni et al., 2017a), the root extra-xylem flow pathways might be more vulnerable. In grapevine, lacunae formation in fine root cortical cells may cause a strong decline in $K_{\text{root}}$ under drying-soil conditions, which would help decouple the plant from drying soil and preserve its vascular system from embolism (Cuneo et al., 2016). Notably, plant competition for soil water is not simulated in the SurEau model. As such, we assume that plants have evolved to efficiently utilize soil water and not overspend it (Cowan, 1982; Buckley et al., 2017b).
rapid dynamics of air temperature and humidity and wind), and thus higher VPD and leaf boundary layer conductance. Furthermore, given the strong transient dehydration during transpiration under these conditions, the higher $K_{leaf}$ would contribute to rapid mesophyll rehydration at high water potential and thus enable the recovery of $g_s$ and photosynthetic rate. The light enhancement of $K_{leaf}$ could be caused by stronger temperature gradients throughout the leaf under high light and/or changes in aquaporin expression (Cochard et al., 2007). Our simulations of $K_{ox}$ under low and high light using MOFLO 2.0 indicated that $K_{leaf}$ would be minimally enhanced by temperature gradients in the leaf caused by light absorption, pointing to a role for aquaporins instead. This is consistent with the molecular evidence that aquaporin expression is sensitive to light (Cochard et al., 2007; Baaziz et al., 2012) and that multiple aquaporin isoforms are involved in a range of responses, such as $K_{leaf}$ decline during drought and $K_{leaf}$ light enhancement (Cochard et al., 2007; Pou et al., 2013; Laur and Hacke, 2014b, 2014a). Furthermore, aquaporins also may be involved in cell rehydration (Vitali et al., 2016). Finally, aquaporins also have been suggested to play a role in a rapid enhancement of $K_{leaf}$ when Arabidopsis is suddenly exposed to low relative humidity, compensating for the increased evaportranspiration and allowing stomata to remain open (Levin et al., 2007).

Contribution of Hydraulic Traits to Arabidopsis Whole-Plant Physiology

Arabidopsis Col-0 has high values of $K_{leaf}$, $g_s$, and $A_{area}$ relative to previously published values of diverse angiosperm species (Flexas et al., 2013; Scoffoni et al., 2017c) and displays strong sensitivity in $K_{leaf}$ and gas exchange to dehydration. This physiological behavior is consistent with Arabidopsis’ ruderal ecology, establishing and producing flowers and seeds in open or disturbed habitats in spring/early summer (Koornneef et al., 2004). The high values of $K_{leaf}$ were driven by an especially high $K_{ox}$ (106 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ MPa$^{-1}$). This high $K_{ox}$ is not untypical in herbs; in Salvia canariensis, maximum $K_{ox}$ reached 231 mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ MPa$^{-1}$ (Scoffoni et al., 2017a). Notably, high $K_{sv}$, $K_{ox}$, and $K_{leaf}$ often are achieved with allocation to substantial vein length per area, which increases flow paths in parallel within the xylem and reduces flow distance outside the xylem (Sack and Scoffoni, 2013); Arabidopsis possesses a relatively low vein length per area, but flow distance is strongly reduced by its very thin leaf, which also would reduce $K_{ox}$ (Brodrribb et al., 2007; Buckley et al., 2015). Furthermore, a high aquaporin activity and/or cell wall permeability, especially at the bundle sheath, could potentially influence $K_{sv}$ across several Arabidopsis mutants, maximum $K_{leaf}$ was associated with an anatomical index of bundle sheath conductivity (Caringella et al., 2015). The high $K_s$ value could potentially arise from the xylem structure (i.e. the numbers and sizes of xylem cells within minor veins; Caringella et al., 2015; Stewart et al., 2018) in combination with high conductance between xylem conduits. Indeed, our transmission electron microscopy imaging showed a very little secondary lignification of xylem conduits throughout the midrib and other vein orders (Fig. 8), such that the bulk of midrib conduit walls are effectively one large pit membrane (i.e. primary un lignified wall) with water potentially leaking throughout the surface, a structure that would strongly reduce pit wall resistance and thus total xylem resistance (Choat et al., 2008).

Arabidopsis Col-0 also exhibits strong drought sensitivity, with its very low leaf mass per area (Wright et al., 2004), a very high degree of area shrinkage during dehydration (58% shrinkage when dry), high $g_{min}$ very high osmotic potential at full turgor, low modulus of elasticity and relative water content at the turgor loss point, and a turgor loss point that is among the highest values reported across angiosperm species (Bartlett et al., 2012), similar to that of the water potential at stomatal closure and at 88% loss of $K_{leaf}$ around $-0.7$ MPa. This detailed characterization of Arabidopsis Col-0 hydraulics traits, and their dynamics during leaf dehydration and implications for whole-plant responses, highlights useful avenues for high-throughput phenotyping and the elucidation of genetic mechanisms controlling these key traits, which would be loci for the manipulation of gas exchange and drought tolerance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Measurements were performed on Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Col-0) plants grown continuously from December 2015 through November 2016. We grew Arabidopsis in a climate-controlled greenhouse at the University of California, Los Angeles. Seeds were sown in lawns in pots (3.13 inches wide $\times$ 4.88 inches long $\times$ 2.31 inches deep) in soil (1:1:2:1:1 mixture of washed plaster sand, loam, peat moss, perlite, and vermiculite) and cold acclimated at 4°C for 3 days in a chamber, then brought to the temperature-controlled greenhouse (minimum, mean, and maximum values for temperature, 19.3°C, 22.5°C, and 33.2°C; for humidity, 24%, 65%, and 92%; for irradiance [from 09:00 to 16:00], 11.2, 169, and 1,369 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). We recognize that many researchers often grow Arabidopsis in growth chambers under less than 300 µmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ irradiance, and future work should consider the variation in leaf physiology, morphology, and anatomy driven by this lower irradiance. We chose to grow Arabidopsis in a greenhouse setting where plants are exposed to light fluctuations, with temporary high-light peaks, as is experienced in the field. Indeed, this has been shown to impact plant growth (Poorter et al., 2016). Furthermore, growing plants under such high irradiance means that these were not light limited; thus, our findings can be compared with those for other species grown without light limitation, as is typical in studies of plant hydraulic physiology.

When plants had true leaves after approximately 1 week, they were thinned to one individual per pot. Plants were watered regularly to keep soil moist. After approximately 6 weeks, at which point plants had more than 10 to 20 leaves, mature and healthy leaves were chosen for gas-exchange, hydraulic, and x-ray microCT measurements.

$K_{leaf}$

Pots were transported to the laboratory, watered, and enclosed overnight in plastic bags filled with wet paper towels to ensure a saturated atmosphere. To
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obtain a vulnerability curve spanning a range of $\Psi_{leaf}$ values, well-hydrated and dehydrated leaves were measured. To obtain $K_{leaf}$ values at high $\Psi_{leaf}$, mature and healthy leaves were cut directly at their base under water and their petioles were placed in a petri dish containing ultra-pure water (0.22-μm, 200 CR; Millipore) prior to being connected to the evaporative flux system described below. To obtain $K_{leaf}$ values at low $\Psi_{leaf}$, individuals were removed from the soil and dehydrated on the bench for 0.25 to 2 h, after which they were placed in bags which had previously been exhaled into, within a second bag filled with wet paper towels, to ensure high vapor and CO$_2$ concentration, to reduce stomatal opening and facilitate equilibration for 30 min. Two leaves then were measured for initial $\Psi_{leaf}$ using a pressure chamber (Plant Moisture Stress model 1000; PMS Instrument), with a grass fitting in the compression lid; for a few leaves with round petioles, silicon adapters were used (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011). On average, the two leaves measured for initial $\Psi_{leaf}$ differed by 0.051 ± 0.0008 MPa. A third mature and healthy leaf from the dehydrated individual was measured for $K_{leaf}$. After the leaf petiole was cut under water, it was gently wrapped with Parafilm and connected via tubing to a water source on a balance (±10 μg; models XS205 and AB265; Mettler Toledo), which logged the flow rate into the leaf every 5 s to a computer. The leaf was placed over a fan and under a light source (greater than 1,000 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; model 736281, 1,000-W UV filter; Sears Roebuck). A water bath was placed between the leaf and the light to avoid overheating the leaf, which was kept between 23° and 28°C as measured using a thermocouple (Cole-Parmer). After a minimum of 30 min to ensure light acclimation (Scoffoni et al., 2008) and once the flow had stabilized with no upward or downward trend, the average steady-state flow rate for the last 5 min was recorded and leaf temperature was measured (Cole-Parmer). The leaf was rapidly removed from the system, its petiole dabbed dry, and placed in a bag which had previously been exhaled into. The bagged leaf was placed into a second bag filled with wet paper towels and left to equilibrate for 30 min, after which final $\Psi_{leaf}$ was measured. Leaf area was traced manually onto paper, scanned, and measured using ImageJ software (version 1.46; National Institutes of Health). $K_{leaf}$ was calculated as the flow rate divided by the $\Psi_{leaf}$ driving force (the water potential of the water fed to the petiole [0 MPa] minus measured leaf water potential normalized by leaf area and corrected for the dependence of water viscosity on temperature (to a reference value of 25°C; Weast, 1974; Yang and Tyree, 1993); this correction also approximately applies for the temperature dependence of vapor phase transport across this range of temperature (Buckley, 2015). Leaf hydraulic vulnerability curves were obtained as the plot of $K_{leaf}$ versus the most negative $\Psi_{leaf}$ experienced by the leaf (either the initial or final). $K_{leaf}$ vulnerability curves were measured under very low laboratory irradiance (light source off; less than 3 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) and high irradiance (greater than 1,000 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Measurements in very low and high irradiance were performed on the same day using leaves taken from the same individuals when possible (i.e., when two leaves from the same individual were mature and healthy). Notably, the aim of this experiment was to test for a rapid light enhancement of $K_{leaf}$ for high-light-grown individuals. Future studies are needed to investigate the plasticity in $K_{leaf}$ and other physiological and morphological traits for Arabidopsis across different light growth regimes, as found to be important in a study of species of Hawaiian lobelias (Scoffoni et al., 2015).

$K_s$

$K_s$ was measured for six leaves (taken from six different individuals) using the vacuum pump method (Kolb et al., 1996; Nardini et al., 2001; Sack et al., 2004; Scoffoni and Sack, 2015; Trifilo et al., 2016). Briefly, individuals were rehydrated in the laboratory overnight and kept in dark plastic bags filled with wet paper towels to ensure high humidity. The next morning, a leaf was cut off the petiole was cut by accident, the leaf was discarded. Once the cuts were made, the leaf petiole was wrapped with Parafilm and inserted through a small rubber stopper that had been perforated using a cork borer. The small rubber stopper then was connected to a tubing fitting connected to silicone tubing (Cole-Parmer). The rubber stopper allowed a good seal around the petiole without crushing. We obtained a vacuum-tight seal by tightening the tubing around the rubber stopper with zipties and sealing the petiole to the exposed end of the rubber stopper using super glue (Locitite 409 glue; Henkel) with accelerator (Locitite 712 accelerator). Leaves were placed inside a vacuum flask with a thermocouple (Cole-Parmer) connected by a four-way valve to a vacuum pump (Gast) and a high-precision pressure gauge (±0.002 MPa; J4605 Marsh/Belofram; Marshall Instruments).

We applied five increasing levels of partial vacuum, resulting in absolute pressures between 0.06 and 0.02 MPa, and recorded the flow rate of water entering the leaf from a water source on a balance (±10 μg; models XS205 and AB265; Mettler Toledo). The average flow rate of the last 5 min of stability for a given pressure was recorded, along with the temperature. The flow rate was normalized to 25°C, correct for the temperature response of the viscosity of water (Weast, 1974; Yang and Tyree, 1993). $K_s$ was calculated as the slope of the flow rate versus pressure and normalized by leaf area, measured at the end of the experiment with a flatbed scanner. The percentage hydraulic resistance in the leaf xylem ($\%R_{x}$) and outside xylem ($\%R_{ox}$) were calculated as:

$$\%R_{x} = \frac{1}{K_{s}} \times 100 \tag{1}$$

$$\%R_{ox} = 100 - \%R_{x} \tag{2}$$

**Diurnal Measurements of $g_{s}$ Photosynthetic Rate, and $K_{plant}$ as a Function of $\Psi_{leaf}$**

Diurnal measurements of light-saturated $A_{max}$ and $g_{s}$ were performed in the greenhouse on 40 individuals on November 11, 2016, from 9:00 to 18:00 using a portable gas-exchange system (LI-6400; LI-COR). The chamber CO$_2$ was set at 400 ppm. Because resolution was not sufficient to determine whether conduit collapse occurred in higher order veins, we simulated the potential impact of such a collapse if it had occurred, along with the temperature. That, if higher order veins were to collapse to the same percentage of conduit diameter as reported recently for minor veins of $s^2$ = 1 photosynthetically active radiation, and leaf-to-air VPD was maintained between 0.4 and 0.6 kPa. Measurements were taken after the leaf had equilibrated in the chamber for 10 min; $A_{max}$ and $g_{s}$ were logged five times at 10-s intervals, and these five measurements were averaged. We checked that 10 min was sufficient equilibration time; $n$ = 7 leaves were kept in the chamber for an additional 5 min; no significant differences were found between values taken at 10 min versus those taken at 15 min (paired Student’s $t$ test, $P = 0.08$). To verify $g_{s}$ measurements, additional measurements were taken using a porometer on the abaxial side of the leaf (Delta-T Devices) on November 11, 2016, from 9:00 to 18:00. As expected, the $g_{s}$ values obtained from the LI-COR device and porometer were within the same range of values and thus were pooled together during the analyses.

At the end of the measurement, the leaf was excised with a razor blade and immediately placed in a sealable bag (Whirl-Pak; Nasco), which had previously been exhaled into, and the bagged leaves were placed in a second bag filled with wet paper towels. After at least 30 min of equilibration, $V_{mew}$ was measured using a pressure chamber as described above.

$$K_{plant} (\text{mmol} m^{-2} s^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1}) = \text{estimated under the assumption that soil water potential was fully saturated throughout the day (thus, } \Psi_{leaf} \leq 0 \text{ MPa). Although we did not measure } \Psi_{leaf} \text{ directly, plants were well watered and soil was always moist. Thus, } K_{plant} \text{ was determined from the } g_{s} \text{ obtained from the porometer data described above (measurements performed under ambient light irradiance), ambient VPD at the time of measurement, and } \Psi_{leaf}.$$

$$K_{plant} = \frac{g_{s} \times \text{VPD}}{\Psi_{leaf} - \Psi_{leaf}} \tag{3}$$

**X-Ray MicroCT**

To visualize leaf vein xylem embolism and tissue shrinkage, we used x-ray microCT at the synchrotron at the Advanced Light Source in Berkeley, California (Beamline 8.3.2). We imaged the xylem within the midrib and lamina tissues in 18 leaves of a range of $\Psi_{leaf}$ values from nine individuals in February 2016 at 1.27-μm resolution. Three additional individuals were further scanned in November 2016 at a higher resolution of 0.638 μm to check for potential collapse in xylem conduits of the midrib. Arabidopsis individuals grown as described above were transported as carry-on in a plane to the Advanced Light Source. Individuals were fully rehydrated at the start of the experiment, whole plants were removed from the soil and dehydrated on the bench for different times to obtain a range of water potentials and equilibrated in double-sealed plastic bags for 30 min, after which two leaves were excised to obtain initial $\Psi_{leaf}$ values.
Two of the leaves remaining attached to the plant were juxtaposed within a Styrofoam holder, and 0.635- to 0.869-mm-length scans were made of their midrib and surrounding lamina at the center of each leaf. A small piece of copper wire was attached at the center of the leaves to help center the samples for scanning. Kapton tape (DuPont) was used to tape the leaves and the copper wire to the Styrofoam holder to minimize sample movement during the scan. The Styrofoam with the sample enclosed was placed in a plexiglass cylinder, attached to a custom-built aluminum sample holder mounted on an air-bearing stage, and wet paper towels were placed above the sample in the plexiglass cylinder to minimize evaporation during the measurement. At the end of the measurement, final $Ψ_{leaf}$ was recorded and leaf areas were measured. No significant differences in water potential before and after the measurement were observed (paired Student’s t-test, $P = 0.7; n = 8$). Scans were made at 20 to 23 kV in the synchrotron x-ray beam, and samples were rotated 180° with the instrument to enable visualization of the full 3D internal structure of the leaf. Scans took 5 to 10 min to complete, depending on the scan area selected. 3D volume renderings were made using the AVIZO 8.1.1 software (VSX) and used to count the number of embolized conduits in the entire sample and different vein orders. For the four samples that showed embolism, we measured the length of the embolized conduit and the widths of both conduit axes at three locations along the sample length. We also visualized for each section the water-filled conduits within the midrib and secondary veins to observe any potential deformation or collapse.

Using ImageJ software (version 1.46r; National Institutes of Health), we measured lamina tissue and cell dimensions on three cross-sectional images randomly selected in the middle of each sample. For each image, we measured the thickness of the lamina and of each tissue (i.e. the abaxial and adaxial epidermis, including the cuticle, and the palisade and spongy mesophyll) at three locations within the sample. We also measured the area, perimeter, and diameters as well as the percentage intercellular airspace of palisade and spongy mesophyll cells.

### Drought Tolerance Traits

The leaf turgor loss point, osmotic potential at full turgor, relative water content at the turgor loss point, and modulus of elasticity were calculated from a pressure-volume curve constructed using 29 leaves from 20 individuals previously rehydrated overnight (Supplemental Fig. S3). Initial leaf mass was obtained for each single leaf before dehydration to a range of previously rehydrated overnight (Supplemental Fig. S3). Initial leaf mass was measured, along with leaf area, before it was placed in a drying oven at 70°C and measured for dry mass after 72 h. Pressure-volume curve parameters were obtained following standard protocols (Sack and Scoffoni, 2010).

We measured the $γ_{min}$ (i.e. cuticular plus residual stomatal conductance) on nine mature leaves from nine individuals in June 2016 by following a standard protocol (Sack and Scoffoni, 2010). Individual leaves were rehydrated covered in plastic in the laboratory the night before measurements. The next day, nine leaves were excised, their cut petioles were sealed with wax, and their fresh leaves and leaf area were measured, before dehydration for 1 h taped to a fishing line above a fan, to ensure stomatal closure. Leaves were then repeatedly taken off the fan, bagged, and measured for mass every 20 min. After eight measurements were obtained for a given leaf, its area was measured again. The $γ_{min}$ was calculated as the slope of mass over time divided by the average mole fraction VPD during the measurement and normalized by the average of the initial and final leaf areas given shrinkage with dehydration during measurement. VPD was calculated from the temperature and relative humidity measured at a weather station (HOBO Micro Station with Smart Sensors; Onset). Finally, each individual leaf was dried in an oven at 70°C for 3 d, and dry mass and area were obtained to calculate leaf dry mass per hydrated area (in g m$^{-2}$) and the percentage area shrinkage in the dried leaf relative to the hydrated leaf.

### Leaf Anatomy

Data for leaf venation and leaf cross-sectional anatomy of Col-0 to aid with the interpretation of microCT images were obtained from a previous study (Carigella et al., 2015). To visualize xylem conduit walls, transmission electron microscopy was performed on three leaves from three Col-0 individuals in Germany. Small samples (approximately 2 mm wide and 8 mm long) from leaf midribs (and surrounding mesophyll) were cut under water and fixed in glutaraldehyde (2.5% glutaraldehyde, 0.1 mol of phosphate, and 1% saccharose, pH 7.3) overnight. After being washed in phosphate buffer and postfixed with 2% OsO$_4$, samples were dehydrated in a series of propanol solutions (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, and 100% by volume) for 1 h each. Samples were finally immersed in 1.2-proplyoxenole (CAS no. 75.56-9), embedded gradually in Epon resin (Sigma-Aldrich), and polymerized at 60°C for 48 h. Ultrathin sections (less than 90 nm thick) were made with a Leica Ultracut UMC microtome (Leica Microsystems) and placed on copper slot grids. Observations were made using a JEOL 1400 transmission electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of 120 kV. Images were taken with a digital camera (Soft Imaging System).

### Modeling of Hydraulic Function across Scales from Tissues to Whole Plants

We applied a framework of four models across scales to compute the mechanisms underlying $K_{leaf}$ decline inside and outside the xylem, the causal role of $K_{leaf}$ decline in driving stomatal closure, and the implications for gas exchange under simulated drought regimes (Table 1).

We first estimated the causal importance of mechanistic drivers of $K_{leaf}$ decline using spatially explicit models of the leaf veins (K_LEAF; Cochard et al., 2004b; Scoffoni et al., 2017b) and outside-xylem pathways (MOFL 2.0; Buckley et al., 2017a). Using K_LEAF, we tested whether xylem embolism and/or conduit collapse could explain the observed decline in $K_{leaf}$. We first tested for the impact of the embolisms observed with microCT imaging in the midrib and secondary veins on $K_{leaf}$, and ultimately $K_{leaf}$ (for more information on model parameterization, see Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Table S2). We tested the potential effect of the collapse of tertiary and minor vein conduits on $K_{leaf}$ under two scenarios: (1) a realistic impact of conduit collapse on conduit conductivity (13% decline in tertiary and minor vein conductivity, similar to that observed in Quercus rubra [Quercus rubra] at the turgor loss point by Zhang et al. [2016]), and (2) a more severe conduit collapse scenario that would induce a 50% decline in tertiary and minor vein conduit conductivity (Supplemental Methods). Using MOFL 2.0, we investigated the potential drivers of decline in $K_{cell}$ with dehydration. We simulated the impact of an 80% decline in cell membrane permeability and/or a decline in cell-to-cell liquid phase hydraulic connectivity given the anatomical changes due to cell shrinkage at ~0.5 MPa under different scenarios: (1) with or without an apoplastic barrier to liquid-phase water transport across the bundle sheath, and (2) under either no light or with an irradiance of 600 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ photosynthetically active radiation to clarify a potential role of transdermal temperature gradients (Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Table S2).

We then quantified the direct influence of $K_{leaf}$ decline on $g_s$ decline with dehydration using a partitioning approach. We first considered the empirical maximum likelihood functions relating $K_{leaf}$ and $g_s$ to $Ψ_{leaf}$:

$$g_s = -451 \times |Ψ_{leaf}| + 339 \quad (4)$$

$$K_{leaf} = 6.83 + 81.4 \exp (-7.56 \times |Ψ_{leaf}|) \quad (5)$$

$Ψ_{leaf}$ in turn, is a function of $g_s$, $K_{leaf}$, soil water potential ($Ψ_{soil}$), and the water vapor mole fraction gradient ($\Delta$w):

$$Ψ_{leaf} = Ψ_{soil} - Δw \frac{g_s}{K_{leaf}} \quad (6)$$

As $Ψ_{leaf}$ declines during leaf dehydration, the resulting decline in $g_s$ and $K_{leaf}$ lead to changes in their ratio, $g_s/K_{leaf}$. If $K_{leaf}$ declines more rapidly than $g_s$, such that the ratio $g_s/K_{leaf}$ increases, the decline in $Ψ_{leaf}$ will be amplified, and consequently so will the decline in $g_s$ itself. Therefore, $K_{leaf}$ decline with dehydration would contribute to stomatal closure. The fraction of $g_s$ decline with $Ψ_{leaf}$ that can be attributed to $K_{leaf}$ decline, $F$, is:

$$F = \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial Ψ_{leaf}} \frac{Ψ_{leaf}}{g_s} \frac{g_s}{K_{leaf}} \quad (7)$$

where the partial derivative in the numerator is the sensitivity of $g_s$ to $Ψ_{leaf}$ with $Ψ_{leaf}$ and $Δw$ held constant (1.5 kPa). That partial derivative is given by:

$$\frac{\partial g_s}{\partial Ψ_{leaf}} - \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial Ψ_{soil}} \frac{Ψ_{soil}}{Ψ_{leaf}} = \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial Ψ_{leaf}} \left[ -Δw \left( \frac{1}{K_{leaf}} \frac{\partial Ψ_{leaf}}{Ψ_{leaf}} - \frac{g_s}{K_{leaf}} \right) \right] \quad (8)$$
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Solving for $\frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{leaf}}}{\partial K_{\text{leaf}}}$ gives:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{leaf}}}{\partial K_{\text{leaf}}} = \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{leaf}}}{\partial \Psi_{\text{leaf}}} \cdot \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{leaf}}}{\partial K_{\text{leaf}}} = \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{leaf}}}{\partial x} \cdot \frac{\partial x}{\partial K_{\text{leaf}}}$$

Combining Equations 7 and 9 gives expression $F$ as:

$$F = \frac{\partial \Psi_{\text{leaf}}}{\partial K_{\text{leaf}}} \cdot \frac{\partial K_{\text{leaf}}}{\partial x}$$

Finally, using a simplified discrete-time soil-plant hydraulic model (SurEau; Martin-StPaul et al., 2017), we estimated the influence of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ decline on stomatal closure under varying simulations of soil and atmospheric drought. We simulated transpiration, $g_s$ cumulative photosynthetic rate, cumulative water-use efficiency, water potential, and PLC daily and during the course of soil drying until plant death (i.e., PLC = 100%). We performed these simulations following four different scenarios: (1) $K_{\text{root}}$ and $K_{\text{leaf}}$ were both vulnerable to dehydration prior to the turgor loss point (using the function of $K_{\text{root}}$ versus $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ measured with the EFM and the vulnerability of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ obtained from that of $K_{\text{root}}$ and $K_{\text{plant}}$, assuming no stem resistance in Arabidopsis; Supplemental Fig. S4); (2) $K_{\text{root}}$ was vulnerable but not $K_{\text{leaf}}$ ($K_{\text{leaf}}$ was kept constant until xylem embolism occurred in the root); (3) $K_{\text{root}}$ was vulnerable but not $K_{\text{leaf}}$ ($K_{\text{leaf}}$ was kept constant until xylem embolism occurred in the leaf); and (4) neither $K_{\text{leaf}}$ nor $K_{\text{root}}$ was vulnerable to dehydration (i.e. both $K_{\text{leaf}}$ and $K_{\text{root}}$ were kept at constant values until xylem embolism occurred; Supplemental Methods; Supplemental Table S3).

Statistics

We selected functions for the responses of $k_{\text{plant}}$, $K_{\text{leaf}}$, $g_s$, and $A_{\text{max}}$ to $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ using a maximum likelihood framework (Burnham and Anderson, 2002; Sack et al., 2006). For the $g_s$ and $A_{\text{max}}$ curve fitting, extremely low values at the beginning or end of the day, when stomata were shut in well-hydrated leaves ($\Psi_{\text{leaf}} > -0.01 \text{ MPa}$), were discarded and likely represented the effects of the mechanical advantage of epidermal cells preventing stomatal opening in turgid leaves (Guyot et al., 2012); these points represented three of 63 and two of 26 of the points for $g_s$ and $A_{\text{max}}$ respectively. We selected the maximum likelihood model using the optimum function in R 3.4.1 (http://www.r-project.org). We fitted four types of functions to the curves, as used previously in the literature (Scoffoni et al., 2012), where $Y = K_{\text{leaf}}$, $A_{\text{max}}$, or $g_s$, and $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ is leaf water potential: linear ($Y = a \times \Psi_{\text{leaf}} + y_0$), two-parameter sigmoidal ($Y = a/1 + e^{-(\Psi_{\text{leaf}} - y_0)/b}$), logistic ($Y = a/(1 + (\Psi_{\text{leaf}} - y_0)/b))^3$, and exponential ($Y = y_0 + a \times e^{-b \times \Psi_{\text{leaf}}}$). We estimated the maximum $Y$ value by extrapolating to $\Psi_{\text{leaf}} = 0$ and, as indices of decline with dehydration, the $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ at which maximum $Y$ values decreased by 50% and 95%. Because the best-fit function for the $K_{\text{leaf}}$ vulnerability curve was exponential and the Y value at $\Psi_{\text{leaf}} = 0$ was extrapolated to a very high unrealistic value, we also estimated the maximum $K_{\text{leaf}}$ by averaging all points above −0.1 MPa ($K_{\text{leaf,avg}}$), as has been done typically in the literature (Sack et al., 2003; Nardini et al., 2005a; Brodribb and Jordan, 2008; Scoffoni et al., 2008, 2015).

To test for an effect of light on $K_{\text{leaf}}$, we selected the best-fit function for the response of $K_{\text{leaf}}$ to $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$, combining data for laboratory irradiance and high-irradiance treatments, using a maximum likelihood framework as explained above. We then calculated the residual variation for each leaf, subtracting the measured $K_{\text{leaf}}$ (and irradiance) from the predicted $K_{\text{leaf}}$ at the given $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$. Based on the best fit. We then performed Student’s t test on the residuals obtained for the high- versus low-irradiance leaves across the entire range of $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ as well as just for points above the turgor loss point and for well-hydrated leaves (above −0.2 MPa).

To determine the contribution of each correlated predictor variable (time, photosynthetically active radiation, temperature, VPD, and $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$) to the observed variation in $g_s$ diurnally, we applied independent effects analysis (Murray and Conner, 2009) using the hier.part function in R 3.4.1.

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** External environmental drivers of $g_s$ measured diurnally in a greenhouse with a potometer.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ is the main driver of observed diurnal variation in $g_s$.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Pressure-volume curve for Arabidopsis (Col-0).

**Supplemental Figure S4.** Vulnerability curves of $k_{\text{plant}}$, $K_{\text{leaf}}$ and $K_{\text{root}}$.

**Supplemental Table S1.** K Leaf simulation inputs.

**Supplemental Table S2.** Model inputs and simulation results from MOFLO 2.0.

**Supplemental Table S3.** SurEau inputs.

**Supplemental Methods.** K Leaf, MOFLO, and SurEau simulations description.
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